

# Building Public Sector Capability for the Future: a view from Australia

Andrew Podger Presentation to 2020 Global Public HR Conference Korean Ministry of Personnel Management



# International public administration trends

- Wide influence of New Public Management from 1980s to 2000s
  - 'Management for results'
  - Emphasis on efficiency
  - Use of market-type mechanisms
  - Devolution of management authority
- Shift towards New Public Governance from 2000s
  - 'Whole of government' or 'horizontal management
  - Networking beyond government
  - 'Bottom-up' as well as 'top-down' accountability
  - 'Citizens-centred services'



- Next trend unclear
  - Perhaps wider variations across nations, related to shifts in global order
  - Concerns about the negative impacts of NPM notwithstanding successes
  - Continuing pressure of new technology for integrated services
  - Public expectations of personalised services and choice
  - Perhaps more 'decentering' more centres of power, more localism
- Civil service implications
  - Public sector *capability* receiving increased attention
    - Partly from concerns that NPM and NPG may have reduced internal capabilities
    - Partly because new skills and capabilities required
  - Civil service *role and values* also receiving attention
    - Debates about whether excessive political control undermining values such as meritbased employment, impartiality, commitment to service
  - Collaboration and connectedness continuing to be given emphasis



# COVID 19 experience as an indicator?

- Recognition of importance of expertise, particularly within public sector
  - And capacity for rapid evaluation and learning
- Confirmation that 'horizontal management', including intergovernmental collaboration, is important
- Recognition that local management is also essential, guided by national policies and supported by national resources
- Importance of new technology in assisting new ways of working, likely to apply widely even when COVID restrictions are lifted



#### Some Australian developments

- Series of reviews over last decade, including:
  - 2010 Moran Report on Australian Government Administration
  - 2012-2014 (internal) Commonwealth Financial Accountability Review
  - 2019 Thodey Report on the Australian Public Service
- Mixed quality, and some key recommendations not accepted
  - But still influencing significant developments in public sector management and capability



- Financial management developments
  - New Public Governance, Accountability and Performance Act 2014
    - Setting principles for governance and accountability across entire Commonwealth public sector
    - Requiring *collaboration* across the national government, and beyond
    - Mandating corporate plans to complement annual performance management, emphasising *capability* for future performance
    - Strengthening performance reporting
    - Promoting more systematic risk management
  - 2018 review of implementation of new Act suggested long way to go
    - Particularly regarding corporate planning, performance reporting and risk
      management
    - Political factors may also be impeding robust evaluations and reporting of performance (unstated by review, but widely understood)



- Related public service developments
  - 2013 amendments to Public Service Act 1999
    - Strengthening the role of the APS Commission
    - Establishment of Secretaries Board responsible for stewardship of the APS and for collaboration across the APS
    - Making departmental secretaries responsible for *stewardship* of their departments
    - Requiring secretaries and the Senior Executive Service to promote *collaboration*
    - Articulating APS *values* more simply to ensure wider appreciation of APS *role*
  - Capability reviews conducted into all departments and major agencies
    - UK methodology used, criteria linked to Leadership, Strategy and Delivery
    - Learning exercises rather than audits, but degree of independence
    - Public reports, frank assessments
    - Some follow-up action overseen by APS Commission
    - But reviews ceased after 2014
  - Political factors still constraining *role* and independence of APS in practice



- Post-Thodey Report developments (since December 2019)
  - Further emphasis on *collaboration*, retreat from devolution
    - Strengthening of the Secretaries Board chaired by Secretary of PM&C
    - Strengthening of APS Commission with some increased funding
    - Expectation of more 'agile' operational approach across APS
  - Renewed investment in *capability* 
    - APS-wide workforce strategy to be developed by APS Commission
    - 'Professions Model' to build APS-wide career paths for common professions including HRM, digital skills, data and statistics, procurement
    - Capability reviews to be re-introduced
    - New investments into IT foreshadowed, starting with major audit of existing IT assets and requirements (Thodey estimates \$100m a year more will be required)
    - Promoting mobility across APS particularly for SES
    - More APS-wide recruitment, including targeted recruitment at middle and senior levels, and induction training



- Further emphasis on *integrated service delivery* 
  - Establishment of Services Australia as an executive agency within the Social Services portfolio (replacing the Department of Human Services)
  - 'Seamless service delivery' across government including tax, border control
  - Digital Transformation Strategy, building on myGovID, My Health Record, Single Touch Payroll (supporting employees' superannuation contributions), etc.
- Some important omissions, political agendas
  - Budget controls over the APS not relaxed
  - Staffing limits retained leading to continued emphasis on contracting out
  - No review of pay and conditions to underpin attraction and retention
  - No strengthening of *role* and professional independence of APS (eg re meritbased senior appointments) or review of political adviser arrangements
  - Power of the Prime Minister over both the APS and his ministers effectively strengthened



### COVID 19 experience in Australia

- Coordination and collaboration
  - Lead role of 'National Cabinet' (heads of national and state/territory govts)
  - Secretaries Board extremely active in coordinating across Commonwealth eg health, border control, welfare support, education measures, stimulus
  - APS Commissioner leading redeployment of APS employees to address pressures eg welfare queues, and advising on APS employees' rules
- Reliance on public sector experts
  - Chief Medical Officer and state/territory Chief Health Officers advising National Cabinet and first ministers
  - Often addressing media directly, with first ministers standing aside
  - Also public profile of other public sector experts, eg Treasury Secretary



- Use of technology
  - app developed to assist contact tracing (not as successful as hoped)
  - Broadband investment over previous decade proved critical to allowing remote working across the country during restrictions, including within APS
- Results
  - Relative success to date in terms of COVID cases and deaths per capita
  - Some serious mistakes made
    - Poor communications, use of untrained contract staff controlling quarantine, poorly trained aged care staff
    - But lessons seem to have been learned eg re importance of testing and tracing, firmer controls over third party contractors, service providers
  - Economic impact huge, but quick action has protected those worst affected



### Final observations

- COVID response is mostly an extreme case of crisis management
  - But some 'new normals' may be emerging for public administration
- Three Australian developments have been reinforced
  - 1. The importance of taking full advantage of *new technology*
  - 2. Connectedness/integration and *collaboration*
  - 3. Building and rebuilding *capability* within the public sector
- These still require some balancing
  - Managing the blurred responsibilities involved in collaboration
  - Getting the right mix of internal and contracted expertise
  - Getting the optimal mix of specialist and generalist skills
- Further action needed to strengthen civil service *role* as an institution
  - Partisan politics undermining values, impartiality, meditic commitment to service